IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application: Randal L. Bertram et al; : Date: August 28, 1998

Serial Number: NOT YET ASSIGNED : Group Art Unit: (Previously 2773)

(Continuation of 08/703,171)

Examiner: (Previously B. Huynh)

Filed: August 22, 1998

IBM CORPORATION

Title: MOBILE CLIENT COMPUTER : PSG Legal Department

PROGRAMMED TO PREDICT INPUT : 9CCA/002-2

: P. O. Box 12195

3039 Cornwallis RoadRTP, NC 27709-2195

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT UNDER 35 CFR 1.115

This Preliminary Amendment is concurrently filed with the above noted filed U.S. patent application and the payment of the Issue Fee for the parent case Serial No. 08/703,171.

IN THE SPECIFICATION:

Please amend the Specification as follows:

Page 1, line 4, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/781,004 --.

line 4, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 9 January 1997 --.

lines 5 and 6, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-016".

line 7, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/708,168 --.

line 7, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 22 August 1997 --.

line 9, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-018".

line 10, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/814,034--.

line 10, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 10 March 1997 --.

```
lines 12 and 13, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-019".
       line 14 following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/706,990 --.
       line 14, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 22 August 1996 --.
       line 16, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-021".
       line 17 following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/963,475"--.
       line 17, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 03 November 1997--.
Page 2, line 2, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-024".
       line 3, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/813,148 --.
       line 3, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 7 March 1997 --.
       line 5, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-025".
       line 6, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/960,914--.
       line 6, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 30 October 1997--.
       lines 7 and 8, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-026".
       line 9, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/813,522 --.
       line 9, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 7 March 1997 --.
       lines 10 and 11, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-027".
        line 12, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/807,969--.
       line 12, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 3 March 1997 --.
       line 14, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-028".
       line 15, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/813,527--.
        line 15, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 3 March 1997 --.
        line 17, delete "filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-038".
        line 18, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/813,521--.
        line 18, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 7 March 1997 --.
        lines 19 and 20, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-039".
        line 21, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert -- 08/959,997--.
        line 21, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 29 October 1997--.
```

line 23, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-047.

line 28, following "Serial No." delete the asterisk and insert --08/700,608--.

line 28, following "filed" delete the asterisk and insert -- 12 August 1996 --.

line 30, delete "and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-051".

Page 3, line 14 after "identified" insert --under brand names such--.

Page 25, line 25 delete "w" and insert -- The value "w"--.

Page 27, line 7 delete "w" and insert -- "w"--.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Kindly cancel Claims 1-36, without prejudice. Claims 1-36, as Amended, are the subject of parent application Serial No. 08/703,171, for which the issue fee is concurrently paid with the filing of this subject patent application and this preliminary amendment.

Kindly add new Claims 37-72, as follows:

37. A mobile client computer comprising:

a housing sized to be held and manipulated by the hand of a user;

a processor mounted within the housing for processing digital data;

memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

a display mounted in the housing and coupled to the processor and the memory for displaying information derived from digital data processed by the processor;

an input digitizer mounted in the housing and overlaying the display, the digitizer being coupled to the processor for input of digital data by a user; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor for directing the processing of digital data by the processor;

- a) displaying a form defining data fields; and
- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.

- 38. The mobile client computer according to Claim 37, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 39. The mobile client computer according to Claim 37, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- The mobile client computer according to Claim 37, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.
- The mobile client computer according to Claim 40, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- The mobile client computer according to Claim 37, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 43. The mobile client computer according to Claim 42, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.

- The mobile client computer according to Claim 42, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- The mobile client computer according to Claim 42, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data entries.
- The mobile client computer according to Claim 42, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 47. The mobile client computer according to Claim 42, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.

10

48. A mobile client computer comprising:

a housing sized to be held and manipulated by the hand of a user;

a processor mounted within the housing for processing digital data; memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

a display mounted in the housing and coupled to the processor and the memory for displaying information derived from digital data processed by the processor;

an input digitizer mounted in the housing and overlaying the display, the digitizer being coupled to the processor for input of digital data by a user; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor for directing the processing of digital data by the processor;

- a) displaying a form defining data fields;
- b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
- c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the defined data field; and
- d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

10

49. A computer comprising:

- a housing;
- a processor mounted within the housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

a display coupled to the processor and the memory to display information derived from digital data processed by the processor; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor to direct the processing of digital data by the processor;

- a) displaying a form defining data fields; and
- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.
- 50. The computer according to Claim 49, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 51. The computer according to Claim 50, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 52. The computer according to Claim 49, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.
- 53. The computer according to Claim 52, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.

- The computer according to Claim 49, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 55. The computer according to Claim 54, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.
- 56. The computer according to Claim 54, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 57. The computer according to Claim 54, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data entries.
- The computer according to Claim 54, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 59. The computer according to Claim 54, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.

10

5

10

60. A computer comprising:

a housing;

a processor mounted within the housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

a display coupled to the processor and the memory to display information derived from digital data processed by the processor; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor to direct the processing of digital data by the processor;

the control program and the processor cooperating, when the control program is executing on the processor, in

- a) displaying a form defining data fields;
- b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
- c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the defined data field; and
- d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

61. A display generating system comprising:

a housing;

a processor mounted within the housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

the processor and the memory cooperating in supplying digital data driving a display of visual images; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor to direct the processing of digital data by the processor;

- a) displaying a form defining data fields; and
- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.

- 62. The system according to Claim 61, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 63. The system according to Claim 62, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 64. The system according to Claim 61, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.
- 65. The system according to Claim 64, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- The system according to Claim 61, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 67. The system according to Claim 66, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.
- The system according to Claim 66, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.

- 69. The system according to Claim 66, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 70. The system according to Claim 66, wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 71. The system according to Claim 66 wherein the control program and the processor cooperate, when the control program is executing on the processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.

10

72. A display generating system comprising:

a housing;

a processor mounted within the housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within the housing for storing digital data and coupled to the processor;

the processor and the memory cooperating in supplying digital data driving a display of visual images; and

a control program stored in the memory and accessible by the processor to direct the processing of digital data by the processor;

- a) displaying a form defining data fields;
- b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
- c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the defined data field; and
- d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

REMARKS

In the parent case Serial No. 08/703,171 Claims 1-36 were allowed after a limitation of a ratio expression for a data entry was incorporated. Applicants submit that their patentable contribution is broader than the claims expressed with the mentioned limitation and herewith present claims without the mentioned limitation. Applicants' Declaration Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.131 filed January 29, 1998 with specific regard to U. Patent 5,666,502 (Capps, S. P.), is equally applicable to the present application.

It is submitted that Claims 37-72 are allowable over the art presented in the parent application Serial No. 08/703,171.

Respectfully submitted,

Bernard D. Bogdon

Registration No. 24,773

Telephone No.: 919-543-4753

10

15

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as Express Mail in an envelope addressed to:

ASSISTANT COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS WASHINGTON, DC 20231

W 35 80

PATENT

Inventor(s): R. Bertram

D. Champion

P. Brittenham

Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Predict Input

Related Applications

This application is one of a series of related applications assigned to common ownership. Other applications in the series include:

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer with Keyboard Interface" with named inventors T. Aebli, B. Miller and W.W. Vetter and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-016;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Exchange Lists of Predicted Input" with named inventors R.L. Bertram and W.T. Oldfield and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-018;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Interacting with Docking Device" with named inventors E.H. Booth, B.A. Carpenter, R.B. Ferrier, R.A. Resnick and W.W. Vetter and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-019;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Copy Lists of Predicted Input" with named inventors R.L. Bertram, P.J. Brittenham and D.F. Champion and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-021;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer with Battery

10

15

20

25

30

Charging Control" with named inventors C. Burroughs, B.A. Carpenter, G. O'Neil and R.A. Resnick and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-024;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled Mobile Client Computer Programmed for Systems Message Display" with named inventors R.L. Bertram and D.F. Champion and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-025;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed with Browser Function" with named inventors R.L. Bertram and D.F. Champion and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-026;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Process Table Displays" with named inventor R.L. Bertram and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-027;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Combine Cursor, Control and Input Functions" with named inventors P.J. Brittenham and L.D. Comerford and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-028;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Display Drop Down Scrolling Indicator" with named inventors R.L. Bertram and D.F. Champion and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-038;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Hide Empty Table Elements" with named inventor R.L. Bertram and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-039;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer with Integrated Cellular Telephone" with named inventors B.A. Carpenter, P. Lee, M. Noll and R. Reiland and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-047;

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer with Holster for Integrating a Radio Transceiver" with named inventors D.H. Boehm, B.A. Carpenter, D.J. Hunt and M.S. Miller and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-050; and

Application Serial No. * filed *, entitled "Mobile Client Computer Programmed to Display Hexagonal Keyboard" with named inventors R.L. Bertram, D.F. Champion and L.S. Eichorn and filed under Attorney's Docket No. RP9-95-051.

10

15

20

25

Background of the Invention

Personal computer systems in general and IBM personal computers in particular have attained widespread use for providing computer power to many segments of today's modern society. Personal computer systems can usually be defined as a desk top, floor standing, or portable microcomputer that consists of a system unit having a single system processor and associated volatile and non-volatile memory, a display monitor, a keyboard, one or more diskette drives, a fixed disk storage, and an optional printer. One of the distinguishing characteristics of these systems is the use of a motherboard or system planar to electrically connect these components together. These systems are designed primarily to give independent computing power to a single user and are inexpensively priced for purchase by individuals or small businesses. Examples of such personal computer systems are the personal computer systems offered by IBM and identified as the PERSONAL COMPUTER AT, PERSONAL SYSTEM/2, PS/1, Aptiva, and the like. Persons of skill in the computer arts will be familiar with these systems.

These systems can be classified into two general families. The first family, usually referred to as Family I Models, use a bus architecture exemplified by the IBM PERSONAL COMPUTER AT and other "IBM compatible" machines. The second family, referred to as Family II Models, use IBM's MICRO CHANNEL bus architecture exemplified by IBM's PERSONAL SYSTEM/2 Models 50 through 95. The Family I models originally used the popular INTEL 8088 or 8086 microprocessor as the system processor. These processors have the ability to address one megabyte of memory. The Family II models typically used the high speed INTEL 80286, 80386, and 80486 microprocessors which can operate in a real mode to emulate the slower speed INTEL 8086 microprocessor or a protected mode which extends the addressing range from 1 megabyte to 4 Gigabytes for some models. In essence, the real mode feature of the 80286, 80386, and 80486

10

15

20

25

processors provide hardware compatibility with software written for the 8086 and 8088 microprocessors. As the state of the art has progressed, Family I systems have developed toward ever higher capability central processor units, including the Intel PENTIUM brand microprocessor and its competitors, Reduced Instruction Set Computing (RISC) microprocessors such as the IBM and Motorola Power PC processors, and higher capability bus designs such as VESA and PCI bus designs. Again, persons of skill in the computer arts will be familiar with these systems.

The impact of such development on the manner in which computing is done in business and consumer environments has been profound. Prior to the development of personal computer systems, most use of computers was for business purposes only and data processing was done in the "glass house" which housed the computer system. Inquires were channeled through information managers for handling by computer technicians. With the wide spread use of personal computer systems access to data once maintained on an enterprise wide computer system became important to managers and ultimately line employees. Networks of personal computer systems grew up, with layered access through network servers to the enterprise systems or mainframes on which enterprise data is stored.

As information work has spread to an increasing number of information workers and impacted the work of more wide spread groups of employees within an enterprise, need for mobility of such employees has arisen. Particularly in such "outside" jobs as route salesperson, transport driver, or business consultant, it has become important to have access, while remote from an enterprise site, to enterprise data normally maintained on an enterprise system and accessed through a network of computer systems. Such access has been achieved, in part, through the use of wireline connected personal computer systems such as notebook or laptop computer systems. Typically, such a system may be equipped with a modem and communications software such that, when connected to a public switched

10

15

20

25

telephone network (PSTN), the system may be connected with a supporting server or mainframe and a user may gain access to desired data.

With the development of radio communications such as exemplified by the cellular telephone networks, the possibility arose of eliminating the wireline connection between a personal computer system and a supporting server. Such systems have been developed, particularly for systems used in retail and warehousing businesses, which permit a user to move freely within an area which has radio transceiver service while remaining in intermittent or continuous contact with a data channel through which data may be exchanged with a server or mainframe supporting an enterprise activity. For purposes of discussion here, such systems in the hands of user will be referred to as "mobile client systems". A mobile client system is distinguished by the mobility of the user, who is free of the restraints against movement imposed by a wireline connection, and by the client nature of the system in that enterprise data accessed by the mobile client system is maintained on a server or mainframe computer system with which the mobile client is in communication. Such mobile client systems are also sometimes referred to as personal communications assistants or personal digital assistants. The interested reader is referred to "Wireless: The Revolution in Personal Telecommunications" by Ira Brodsky (Artech House, Boston, 1995) for additional background and information about mobile client systems.

With mobile client systems as described to this point, various difficulties are introduced by the limited display area which can be provided while achieving other design characteristics. Certain of those difficulties have to do with entry of data into fields which may require alphanumeric data, such as a street address or a customer name. Such requirements typically arise in any form filling application, such as handling of warehouse orders, business deliveries, hospital patient and treatment records, and the like. All of these environments of use are environments in which mobile client systems linked to data repository systems are in use.

10

15

20

Where form filling capabilities are required, a successful approach has been the development of what are here called "forms widgets". The terminology "widget" originated in AIX programming as meaning a graphic device which can receive input from a keyboard or pointer and communicate with an application program or another widget by means of a callback. As here used (and consistent with the AIX definition), a "widget" is a small tool or component of code on a display which accomplishes a specific task. For example, a forms widget may supply a character string for a particular data or information field in a form which is in use. An example might be a forms widget to supply a two letter state identifier for a particular field in a form which requires an address. The forms widget, in this instance, would select from among the fifty combinations of two letters adopted by the United States Postal Service to identify the states of the United States. In the example given, the list from which the data is to be supplied is restrained. In other examples, such as a name field for an address form, the list may be essentially unrestrained and must be open to the possibility of additions or manual entry apart from any preselected list.

Summary of the Invention

With the foregoing as background, the present invention contemplates a mobile client system in which predictive widgets are used in a form filling application. A predictive widget is one in which a predictive list is used to provide one or both of a predictive default for entry into a field or a predictive fill for the field. The predictive widget may respond intelligently to use of a form filling application by a user of a system and thus improve user productivity.

Brief Description of the Drawings

Some of the purposes of the invention having been stated, others will appear as the following description proceeds, when taken in connection with the

15

20

accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is an exploded perspective view of certain elements of a mobile client computer system in accordance with this invention;

Figure 2 is a perspective view of the mobile client system of Figure 1 as assembled for use;

Figure 3 is a diagrammatic representation of relationships among a mobile client system as shown in Figures 1 and 2 and supporting peripherals and systems;

Figure 4 is a schematic showing of certain circuitry elements embodied in the mobile client of Figure 2;

Figure 5 is a schematic showing of certain circuitry elements and their relationships in the mobile client of Figure 2;

Figure 6 is a state diagram of power modes for the mobile client of Figure 2 and transitions among them;

Figure 7 is a diagram similar to that of Figure 6 showing the states of certain elements of the mobile client of Figure 2 and including the status of software executing on the mobile client;

Figure 8 is an illustration of the display screen of the mobile client of Figure 2 while driven by the execution of an application program on the mobile client to display certain data;

Figure 9 is an illustration similar to Figure 8 of a different screen display;

10

15

20

25

Figure 10 is a schematic representation of relationships among a widget, default and fill capabilities for the widget, and a list from which the default and fill capabilities draw data;

Figure 11 is a view similar to Figure 8 showing the appearance of a keyboard including a list portion as displayed over the form of Figure 8; and

Figure 12 is a table of items potentially used in a name field widget in accordance with this invention.

Description of the Preferred Embodiment(s)

While the present invention will be described more fully hereinafter with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which preferred embodiments of the present invention are shown, it is to be understood at the outset of the description which follows that persons of skill in the appropriate arts may modify the invention here described while still achieving the favorable results of this invention. Accordingly, the description which follows is to be understood as being a broad, teaching disclosure directed to persons of skill in the appropriate arts, and not as limiting upon the present invention.

Referring now more particularly to the accompanying Figures, Figures 1 and 2 illustrate an exemplary embodiment of a mobile client personal computer system (herein also called a "mobile client") in accordance with this invention and indicated generally at 10. As will become more clear from the description which follows, the mobile client may have a variety of characteristics while remaining within the contemplation of this invention. Central among those characteristics is that the system have provision for the capability of communicating at least data, possibly both data and audio such as voice, between the mobile client system and supporting servers and mainframes. In the illustrated embodiment, such capability

10

15

20

25

is provided by providing a system which is separable into three distinct components, namely a system tablet 11, a holster 12 and a radio transceiver 13. In the form illustrated, the transceiver 13 is a cellular telephone which may be mounted within the holster 12, while the holster 12 may be connected with the system tablet 11 by slipping the tablet into a receptacle provided in the holster 12. While thus illustrated and here described as a three component system, it will be understood that many of the advantages of this invention as described hereinafter can be realized where the mobile client system 10 is unified. That is, where the radio transceiver 13 is integrated with the system tablet 11 and the connection therebetween is accomplished within a single cover for the system, apart from any use of a holster 12. The transceiver/holster/tablet organization provides certain advantages in some circumstances. However, it is to be understood that the invention can be practiced apart from this particular organization.

An advantage realizable with the tripartite organization is the ready substitution of one radio transceiver for another. More particularly, while digital data and analog audio can be exchanged over a cellular telephone radio interface, with data using cellular digital packet data (CDPD) protocols, there are other possibilities. Among them can be digital radio techniques such as frequency division multiple access (FDMA) and time division multiple access (TDMA); spread spectrum technologies such as direct sequence spread spectrum (DS-SS) and resultant code division multiple access (CDMA); frequency hopping spread spectrum (FH-SS); and the combination of one of more of these technologies into what are known as advanced mobile phone systems (AMPS) or advanced radio data information service (ARDIS) or RAM Mobile Data. As these technologies evolve and gain wider acceptance, the tripartite organization will facilitate adapting existing tablets 11 to emerging radio transceivers 13, and thereby protect the investment of users in systems. However, for certain environments such as adverse ambient conditions of temperature, humidity, or exposure to shock as by dropping, a unified system with transceiver and tablet and supporting circuitry in a single cover

RP9-95-017 9

20

25

5

10

may be a preferred choice.

Turning now to Figure 3, what is there schematically indicated is the relationships among a system 10 in accordance with the invention and supporting servers and peripherals. More particularly, while the tablet 11 (as described more fully hereinafter) is normally adapted for input by a user through a touchscreen 15 embodied in a backlit liquid crystal display, the system 10 can accommodate a more conventional keyboard 16. The keyboard may be, as illustrated, wire tethered to the system 10 when desired for use, with the tethering conductors 18 providing signal pathways for data input to reach the system 10. Alternatively, the keyboard may be linked for data transfer by other means known to persons of skill in the art, such as by an infrared link using a known protocol. In the drawing, the system is shown linked to a printer 19 by an IrDA link for data transfer by infrared radiation.

As indicated above, the radio transceiver provides a radio frequency link to a server indicated at 20, which may communicate by wireline with supporting mainframe data storage 21. Data relevant to the operation of the entire enterprise will be maintained on the supporting mainframe, and made available to the mobile client 10 in accordance with this and the above identified related inventions.

Turning now to the circuitry elements which together accomplish the processing of data in accordance with this invention, Figure 4 illustrates one form of organization of such elements. As there shown, the illustrated mobile client system 10 has at its core a microprocessor (indicated at 30) which uses reduced instruction set computing (RISC) characteristics, The processor has elements which include a controller 31 for a liquid crystal display; a controller 32 for peripherals of the type known as PCCards or PCMCIA cards; instruction/data cache 33; an interface to memory and an external bus 34; and a real time clock 35; The microprocessor also has an interrupt controller 36 and a defined interface for a variety of services 37. While here disclosed as a single processor chip having these

10

15

20

characteristics, it is known from the manufacture and use of prior art computer systems that such computational capability and interface availability can be reached by other means, such as the use of an Intel X86 based processor surrounded by appropriate support logic or chips. Thus while the embodiment of Figure 4 is offered as exemplary of a system in accordance with this invention, it is known the core functionality of such a mobile client system can be otherwise configured.

As indicated in Figure 4, the core microprocessor 30 is supported by peripherals. Most importantly, power for operating of the system is supplied from a battery 40. Preferably, the battery 40 is of the type known as a "smart" battery, in which provision is made for self monitoring by the battery of charge state and recharge progress. Such batteries are known and will provide signals to the mobile client system processor 30 which can be used to generate indications to a user of the battery charge state, readiness for re-use after charging, etc. The mobile client also preferably has a conventional modem 41, for use when connected by wireline, and a telephone interconnection point 42 (indicated as an RJ-11 connector). Memory for the system is provided by a flash memory accessory card 44.; by dynamic random access memory (DRAM) 45; and by core flash memory 46. Preferably, a pair of PCMCIA slots, of type II, are provided as shown at 48 and 49 for the addition of other functionality.

mobile client system, the system 10 has an LCD 15 as mentioned above. The LCD is overlain by a suitable touchscreen 50 which functions as a digitizer to recognize when input is made by a user. There will be further discussion of this functionality later in this description. Input from the touchscreen, as from the battery and a temperature sensor, passes through an analog/digital converter 51 to an input/output (I/O) port of the processor 30. Other I/O ports of the processor 30

provide for connection to a keyboard as described above; an IrDA port 52, an audio

CODEC 54 and associated speaker 55 and microphone 56; and an interface

11

In order to display the result of data handling operations performed by the

10

15

20

25

connector 58 for the radio transceiver 13.

As was pointed out hereinabove, it is to be understood that the specific organization of functions here described with reference to Figure 4 may be varied due to a designers choice of functions to be supported, processor core, and support logic.

As mentioned above, the mobile client system 10 obtains power from a battery. While such operation is appropriate for mobility, provision is made for support of the system 10 by connection to more conventional power sources in the form of alternating current electrical mains. Such power sources are identified at 60 in Figure 5, to which reference is had in the following description of power management relationships. As will be understood, the management of power usage by a mobile client system is significant with regard to usability of the system.

Figure 5 illustrates the organization of peripherals around the processor 30 from the perspective of power sources and consumption. The power management topology is such that power flows to the processor 30 in the tablet 11 from the main battery 40, while separate flows of power and control impact the holster 12, any radio transceiver 13 mounted in the holster, and any PCCard accessories used by the system. This division of power flows is of significance to the mobile client system 10 here described. More particularly, a separate battery 61 is provided in the holster 12. The holster battery 61 preferably is a "smart" battery, and has associated therewith in the holster 12 a battery controller 62, a holster peripheral controller 63, and associated circuitry 64 effective to interface the data streams flowing to and from the processor 30 with the radio transceiver 13. Thus, while circuitry in the holster 12 and transceiver 13 is under the control of the processor 30 (as will be pointed out more fully hereinafter), the power source is at least somewhat independent of the tablet 11. This is a significant division. When the tablet is engaged with a holster, the circuitry in the two components cooperates in

10

15

20

25

management of the whole. When a transceiver 13 (which, if a conventional cellular telephone, may have its own power source and power management techniques) is positioned in the holster 12, then the transceiver 13 may also have a coordinated role in participating in power management for the tripartite system.

Turning more particularly to the tablet 11, that system will have controller circuitry 66 for the battery 40 and a power supply 68 to which the battery 40 supplies power for operation of the tablet 11. In the present system, provision is made for a separate microcontroller 69 to exercise control over the power supply in order to off-load certain requirements from the core processor 30. The microcontroller receives input from the processor 30 and from a converter 70. The processor 30 and converter 70 are supported, for minimal functions, by a battery 71 for the real time clock 35. The RTC battery 71 assures that the tablet system will wake up when called as described more fully hereinafter.

Turning now from the hardware topology to a discussion of the power modes and transition events for the mobile client system 10, Figure 6 is one illustration of such modalities. For purposes of the following description, it should be noted that a user of the mobile client system will be provided with indicators for the levels of activity of the system. Typically, such indicators or annunciators will be in the form of light emitting diodes (LEDs), as such devices are readily available, inexpensive, and known to most users of technical devices. The tripartite system may be provided with an indicator for the tablet 11, and indicator for the holster 12, and an indicator for the transceiver 13. In Figure 6, distinctions are drawn between states of activity which reflect differing levels of activity between the tablet 11 and holster 12, and an assumption is made that the system illustrated is a tripartite system with tablet and holster joined.

With this background in mind, the fully active state of the system will have both the tablet 11 and the holster 12 fully activated as indicated by illumination of

10

15

20

25

the corresponding LEDs and the backlit LCD 15. That state is indicated at 75. The completely opposite state, with all components powered down (as if when all batteries have been removed), is indicated at 76, and would result in all LEDs and the backlit LCD being unilluminated. From the state of being fully active, a user may elect to turn off the holster 12, either by operating a switch provided for that purpose or by interrupting a necessary interconnection through separating the holster from the tablet or the radio transceiver from the holster. In such an event, the tablet LED and LCD may remain illuminated (as the tablet remains active) while the holster LED becomes unilluminated (indicated at 78). The mobile client may be capable of data processing using data stored in memory, yet be disconnected (intermittently or temporarily) from the supporting servers 20 and data storage 21. Upon an occurrence determined by software executing on the mobile client system, the system may enter a state known as suspend. In the suspend state, indicated at 79, the tablet LED and LCD and the holster LED are dark. Should it be appropriate for the radio transceiver to be used while the remainder of the tripartite system remains in suspend state, then the system can enter a state indicated at 80 in which the holster LED is illuminated and the transceiver functional. Similarly, upon an occurrence determined once again by software executing on the mobile client system, the system may enter a state known as standby, indicated at 81. In standby, the tablet LCD will be darkened to save power, while the tablet LED will remain illuminated to indicate that the system can be "awakened" readily. The holster may be either powered down (with LED dark) or remain active. A timer function is provided which, after passage of a predetermined time interval with the system 10 in Standby, will transition the system to Suspend mode.

The system can transition between Off state 76 and Active states 78 or 75 by use of an on/off switch. The system can transition from any Suspend or Standby state to Off state 76 by use of the on/off switch or battery removal. The system can transition from Suspend states 79 or 80 to Active states 78 or 75 in response to a suspend/resume input from a user, an incoming call indication from the radio

10

15

20

25

transceiver connected with the holster, time out of a timed interval, or a battery low charge indication from the smart battery controllers. The system can transition from Standby states to Active states 78 or 75 in response to user events such as an input by way of the touchscreen or keyboard.

Another view of the power modes and transition events is given in Figure 7. There, the system states are represented as being an On state 81; Idle state 82; Standby state 84; Suspend state 85; and Off state 86. In the On State 81, the system LEDs and LCD are illuminated, the processor 30 is operating in normal high function mode, application programs are active, and other peripherals are power managed as needed. Passage of time with inactivity will result in the system entering the Idle state 82, in which execution of application programs by the processor has ended, the processor enters a "doze high" mode of power management, the LCD is illuminated, and other peripherals are power managed as needed. Any user event will return the system to the On state. Should the passage of time cause the system to enter the Standby state 84, then application programs become static, the processor enters a "doze low" mode of power management, the LCD is dark, and all other peripherals are power managed as needed. Should the passage of time cause the system to enter the Suspend state 85, application programs become static and are checkpointed for resumption, the processor enters a "sleep" mode of power management, the LCD is darkened, and all other peripherals are off. Thus in terms of time intervals for turnaround to fully active state 81, the system will return most quickly from Idle state 82, less quickly from Standby state 84, less quickly from Suspend state 85, and most slowly from Off

It is now believed instructive to address briefly the display and communication of data as contemplated for the mobile client system of this invention. Referring now more particularly to Figure 8, there is shown there an exemplary representation of a display screen for an application program executed

RP9-95-017

state 86.

10

15

20

25

on the system 10. Preferably, the system 10 executes an application program in the nature of a browser program as used for connection by HyperText Transfer Protocol (HTTP) and for files in HyperText Markup Language (HTML). HTTP and HTML have come into wide use in the environment known as the internet or world wide web. These technologies are here used to facilitate operation of the mobile client system with minimal resources in the client and maximum use of resources available through the supporting server and mainframe data storage.

More particularly, the processor 30 of the client system executes an operating system program and a browser program stored in the system flash memory 46. In executing these programs, the system exchanges data with a supporting server by passing data through the radio link provided by the transceiver 13 and interface 58 or the modem 41 if then wire connected. Data so exchanged may be stored for manipulation by the operating system and browser in the system DRAM memory 45. The browser, in conjunction with the data obtained from the supporting server, will display on the LCD 15 a screen which may, for example, appear as shown in Figure 8. The screen there shown has a title bar 90 across the upper limit of the displayed field and, within the displayed field, a form having defined fields for data such as identifying numerals or names. In the specific form illustrated, the fields include a field for a center number, a hospital number, dates of birth and admission of the identified patient, and the like. Adjacent the title bar 90 is a representation of an animal, shown at 91 and here illustrated as a kangaroo. This representation is used, in accordance with certain implementations of this invention, to indicate that the system is "jumping" from one data access to another and that a user should await completion of the "jump". There are also provided, immediately below the title bar 90, indications of function "buttons" such as return to the main (or initial) screen, go back one screen, exchange data by wireless link, battery charge state, and navigation about the screen presented. Certain fields, such as the Date of Admission to the ICU and the Class fields, may be annotated by a user, and are so indicated by the positioning adjacent those fields of a

20

25

5

10

"notebook" icon. The notebook may be either closed (as adjacent the Date of Admission field) suggesting that it presently lacks any annotation, or opened (as adjacent the Class field) suggesting that it presently contains an annotation.

Inasmuch as the mobile client system 10 has a touchscreen 50 which overlies the LCD 15, input of data into the system can be accomplished by a stylus, pointer or finger applied to fields of the touchscreen. Thus, referring to Figure 8, should a user desire to input information identifying the gender of a particular patient, the user could touch (with stylus, pointer or finger) the buttons "male" or "female" to enter that data. Similarly, should a user wish to enter an annotation, the user could touch the field defined by the notebook icon to change the icon between the closed and open states and thereby open a field for entry of appropriate numerical or alphabetic data.

Other and further functions of the applications program and the screen display will become more clear from discussion which follows or appears in the above identified related applications.

As will be understood, the area available for display of screens in a mobile client system of the type here described is limited. Such limitations impose severe restrictions on the front of screen technology used in generating displays and in providing for the input of data using a touchscreen overlaying the display area. The present invention contemplates that control programs stored accessibly to the processor of a mobile client system will cooperate with the other elements present, when executing on the processor, in accommodating the restricted display area to the needs of users of the system. This invention focuses particularly on certain of such needs.

As mentioned above, form filling applications are commonly used on computer systems. Figure 9 shows one simple form, for which a number of forms

20

25

5

10

widgets are provided. These include text widgets for a text entry field ("Name") and for a multiline text area ("Comment"). Also included are one-of-many widgets for selections in which selecting one option among several provided de-selects the remainder of the group with so-called "radio buttons" ("Eyes") or option lists ("Hair") in which one item may be selected from a pull down list. Also included are on/off switch widgets for check boxes ("Pets") and options lists ("Hobbies") where each item in a pull down list may be checked or not.

The form in Figure 9 uses styles of widgets that are representative of many possible styles. The important point is the classes of input widgets, not the particular style displayed.

Many computer applications allow a user to set a "default" for a form. In the word processing program sold under the trademark WordPerfect 6.1, for example, a template presents a form for personal information such as name, address, and phone number. A "Save as default" button causes future templates to initialize the form with the current information. Many applications save each form entered as the default, initializing the fields in the next form with data from the previous form. An application may initialize only some widgets from the previous form while initializing other widgets to a constant default. Text entry widgets are often initialized to blank.

Many applications fill in the contents of a text widget as the user types, using matching data from previous forms. The accounting program sold under the trademark Quicken' 3.0, for instance, has a form for writing personal checks. After the user types each character in the "payee" text field, Quicken completes the field with a prior entry that starts with the characters typed so far. Hence the user only types enough characters to uniquely identify a previously entered payee. This feature is called QuickFill.

It is important to note that QuickFill uses an alphabetized list of prior entries.

10

15

20

25

For instance, suppose previous checks include:

Twelve checks to "Ace Hardware" in the past month.

One check to "AAA" three months ago.

When the user types the letter A, QuickFill will suggest "AAA" because it comes before "Ace Hardware" alphabetically. QuickFill does not deduce that "Ace Hardware" is more likely because it was used more recently or more frequently. QuickFill suggests "Ace Hardware" only after the user types the letter C. The alphabetical nature of QuickFill's suggestions is typical for text entry widgets.

This invention contemplates the control programs stored in memory accessibly to a system processor will provide enhancements for all of the types of widgets illustrated in, and described with reference to, Figure 9. Briefly, each widget defaults to the most likely choice based on prior forms. However, the most likely choice is determined by the frequency and recency of prior entries, and alphabetical order is only a tie-breaker. Text-entry widgets use prior entries to complete what the user is entering, but the most frequent and most recent entries are preferred.

Widgets that use frequency and recency to predict the user's choice are here called predictive widgets. Each predictive widget contains a predictive list, the list of prior or predetermined entries for that widget. The predictive list contains a record of each entry, so that it can analyze both the frequency and recency of matching entries. The predictive list is a sequence of possible data entries, organized to present the possibilities in a particular order which will vary with usage or over time in manners described more fully hereinafter.

A predictive widget uses its predictive list in two ways. First, the widget uses the list to establish a predictive default. The predictive default sets the initial state of the widget when a form is opened for use. Second, the widget uses the list to establish a predictive fill for the field in question. That is, when a field is selected for filling and a user begins the actions of entering data for the field, the initial few

10

15

20

25

characters entered are used to predict the entirety of the data required to fill the field and a suggestion is made for the entire entry. (The user can accept or reject the suggested fill.)

Figure 10 shows relationships among predictive widgets, predictive lists, predictive defaults and predictive fill. Each of these objects will be examined in turn.

Form filling programs implementing this invention can overlay existing forms systems. For instance, the HyperText Mark-up Language (HTML) supports forms with the types of widgets identified in Figure 9. Since HTML is open and extensible, its syntax for forms widgets could be expanded to include predictive widgets. Form filling programs implementing this invention are independent of the text entry functions of a system. That is, such programs function appropriately with keyboard, hand writing recognition, voice recognition and other means of character entry.

As mentioned above, predictive default functionality sets the initial state of a widget when the form is displayed. This applies to all types of predictive widgets, including others than text entry widgets. It is possible for predictive defaults to set the widget to a constant default. This does not use the predictive list at all, and it is how a traditional widget often works. In addition, the user may be able to set the constant default manually.

However, the predictive default usually initializes the field based on the recency and/or frequency of prior entries. If the predictive default uses recency, then the entry from the previous form is always presented on a new form. For example, in Figure 9, the default for last name might be based on recency if people in the same family are sometimes entered together. If the predictive default uses frequency, then the prior entry that was used most often is presented on the new form. (In this case, recency would serve as a tie-breaker when two or more entries have the same frequency.) For example, in Figure 9, if most people being entered

20

10

15

20

25

have blue eyes, then "Blue" is always selected. The predictive default can also combine frequency and recency instead of depending on one or the other. This is illustrated by the "F+R" bar or balance in Figure 10 with an "F" for Frequency and an "R" for Recency. Instead of a switch between frequency and recency, the predictive default provides a continuum.

For instance, consider a worker in a North Carolina parcel delivery company who records the destination of each package on a computing system. Suppose that 30% of the company's packages go to Charlotte, 20% go to Chapel Hill and less than 20% go to any one other city. On first consideration, the predictive default for the city entry widget on the worker's computing system might be assumed to always be Charlotte, i.e. that it should be based on frequency alone. However, suppose that packages going to a particular city are often sitting next to each other, since clients tend to send packages from one place to another in groups. Hence, if one package is bound for Chapel Hill, there is a better chance that the next one will be also. And if two packages in a row are bound for Chapel Hill, the probability is even higher that the next package will also be bound for Chapel Hill instead of Charlotte. Hence, the predictive default would more appropriately be based on recency as well as frequency. Perhaps after two Chapel Hill packages are entered, the default switches to Chapel Hill. But when the worker breaks the Chapel Hill sequence with a package destined for Charlotte, then the recency of one Charlotte entry plus the frequency of Charlotte entries cause the default to switch back to Charlotte.

A special case of a predictive default is a widget that selects from a continuum, such as a scroll bar. For these widgets, the predictive default might suggest the mean of previous entries rather than the median. The goal is to position the widget closest to its most likely final value.

The predictive fill functionality suggests the most likely strings to complete what the user enters and may be most applicable to text entry widgets. Like

21

10

15

20

25

predictive default, predictive fill uses frequency, recency, or a combination of the two. Predictive defaults and predictive fill use the same predictive list. However, the two functions can use different balances of frequency and recency. This is illustrated in Figure 10 by the different fulcrum or pointer positions on the "F+R" balance beams.

To modify the example given above of the parcel delivery company, assume that 35% of packages go to Raleigh, 30% go to Charlotte (as before), and 20% go to Chapel Hill (as before). Should the predictive default be based entirely on frequency, and hence set to "Raleigh", a user who enters an initial letter C will get differing suggestions for filling the field. If predictive fill depends entirely on frequency, then it would suggest "Charlotte". If predictive fill depends entirely on recency, then it suggests Charlotte or Chapel Hill, which ever was used most recently. If predictive fill uses a combination of frequency and recency, it suggests Charlotte unless Chapel Hill was used very recently, in which case Chapel Hill is preferred.

An application developer using this invention must determine the optimal balance between recency and frequency. Each field on each form may have a unique frequency/recency balance for both predictive fill and predictive default. Familiarity with the end user's environment and experimentation are required to determine the optimal balances. In general, it is expected that users will prefer predictive defaults that do not change too often, hence predictive defaults are more likely to depend on recency or frequency only. Predictive fills, on the other hand, are more likely to use frequency/recency balances.

There are several implementation details that can make predictive fill more useful. If the predictive default for a field is rejected, then the predictive default value should not be suggested again by predictive fill. For instance, if the predictive default is "Charlotte" and the user types a C, predictive fill should suggest "Chapel

10

15

20

25

Hill" instead of "Charlotte", even if Charlotte is preferred, because the user has already rejected Charlotte.

The user can accept a predictive fill (or predictive default) and use only some of its letters. For instance, suppose the user wants to enter "Chapel Hill", but upon entering the letter C, predictive fill suggests "Charlotte". The user does not have to enter H, A, and P before "Chapel Hill" is suggested. Instead, the user can move to the R in "Charlotte" and enter a P over it.

Predictive fill can display several of the top items in its list. When the user types a letter, predictive fill may complete the field with the most likely value. However, it also displays the top five most likely values in a list on the screen. The list is updated with each character the user enters. The user can select any value from the list. Predictive fill can display the list even before the user types anything, while the field still contains the predictive default. An example of this operation is shown in Figure 11, where a predictive fill list is displayed to the left of a keyboard display. The keyboard display itself is the subject matter of one of the companion applications listed above. Predictive fill may operate on subfields as well as complete fields. In the "Name" field in Figure 9, the first and last name may have completely separate predictive lists. Predictive fill suggests common first names (such as "John") or common last names (such as "Smith") depending on which part of the field is being considered for entry. In this example, a space separates the two subfields of first name and last name. For the "Comment" field, a period might separate subfields and predictive fill would recall sentences.

The predictive list is the accumulation over time of a collection of candidates for a field (or subfield) or a similar predetermined list established by a program developer. A field's predictive default and predictive fill use the same predictive list, but usually with different frequency/recency balances. Desirably, a predictive list is dynamic, changing each time the user completes a form containing the field.

10

15

20

25

A predictive list keeps its items sorted in several ways simultaneously. These include frequency (How often is this item used?); recency (How long has it been since each usage of this item?); and a preset weighting (If none of the items have been used before — no frequency or recency history — which are most likely?). The sorts may also include alphabetically. For alphabetic or text fields, the predictive list must limit searches to the items beginning with the characters entered by the user.

For large predictive lists, it will cause performance problems to sort the list just before or after it is used. It may be necessary to use a multitasking system to perform the sorts in the background. If the sorting algorithm is interrupted before it is finished, the predictive list must be able to function using only the sorts that it has completed (e.g. it may be forced to use alphabetic only). This is because it may be better to offer a less probable suggestion than to make the user wait for the sort algorithm to finish.

Form preparation programs embodying this invention may offer a "freeze" function which disables the sorting algorithm, stops adding new entries, and hence freezes the predictive lists. After a system has been in use for a while, the frequencies are established (assuming a static environment) and the user could choose to freeze some or all predictive lists. The user might desire this for two reasons. First, freezing the predictive lists might improve system performance. Second, freezing the predictive lists causes the predictive defaults and fills to be more consistent and predictable. For instance, the same item would always appear at the same position in a predictive fill list. For predictive lists that are frozen and have only a few items, form programs embodying this invention have a "Keypad" view of the list. Instead of presenting the items in a list, the form displays each item of the predictive list in its own button. The items are larger and have more space between them, making the predictive list easier to use.

Several fields may share the a common predictive list. For example, if there

10

15

20

25

is more than one field for names on a form, the fields would share the same predictive list for names. Moreover, fields on entirely different forms may share predictive lists.

Predictive lists may eliminate the need for additional forms storage. If a form is comprised entirely of predictive widgets, then the contents of each form that the user has entered can be recalled by combining the predictive lists. For example, if all the widgets in Figure 9 are predictive widgets, then the contents of the form from n entries ago is recovered by recalling the nth item in each widget's predictive list. For this scheme to work, all widgets must be predictive widgets with predictive lists, even if the user interface does not take advantage of the predictive list. Also, the predictive list must not overwrite items when it gets full unless the items have been saved to another location or are no longer needed.

Control programs contemplated by and embodying this invention are modular so that the developer may access any of its components. The developer may use predictive default and predictive fill objects without necessarily using predictive widgets. This is useful for developing different types of widgets unforeseen by the inventors. Also, the developer may use predictive list objects directly to recall data. Furthermore, the predictive lists can share data with general forms storage.

Figure 12 shows an example of a Predictive list sorted by recency. In the Figure, n indicates recency, with n = 0 for the most recent entry and n = 9 for the first entry. The items in parentheses are "shadows" of items entered more recently, and do not require separate storage. For example, item 0 stores the text "Champion", but item 2 only stores a pointer to item 0.

The probabilistic weight of each item is given by w (lowercase). The weight of a given string (combining the *W s* of the shadows) is given by W (uppercase). w is calculated by the following formula:

10

15

20

$$w = \frac{b}{b + n}$$

where *b* is the frequency/recency balance. If *b* is small, then the balance is toward recency. If *b* is large, then the balance is toward frequency. For each non-shadowed item, the weight is determined by the equation:

$$W = \sum_{n=0}^{9} w$$

so that the total weight of a non-shadow is the weight of itself plus its shadows.

The table shows the weights for balances of 1, 4, and 10. b=1 shows the strongest preference for recency. The user entered "Champion" two times recently, so it gets the highest probability (W=1.33). Notice that as b approaches 0, recency rules completely because the most recent item always has w=1 and the subsequent Ws diminish rapidly. b=10 shows the strongest preference for frequency. The user entered "Brittenham" more often than anything else, so it gets the highest probability (W=2.96). Notice that as b approaches infinity, frequency rules completely because each item has w=1. Recency is only a tie-breaker. b=4 shows a balance between recency and frequency that suggests "Bertram" as the most probable. The user entered "Bertram" three times recently. The user entered Champion more recently, but only twice, the user entered "Brittenham" more frequently, but a long time ago. The predictive list suggests "Bertram" because of its combination of recency and frequency.

It is evident that the effects of different values of *b* depend on the size of the predictive list. Hence, the developer must consider the size of the predictive list when setting the balance with this algorithm.

10

15

20

25

Suppose that a text entry field called "Last Name" has a predictive default of b = 1 and a predictive fill of b = 10. Given the predictive list state in the table above, the default for the field is "Champion" since it has the highest weight with b = 1. If the user types the letter B, then predictive fill will suggest "Brittenham" instead of "Bertram" since the former has a higher weight with b = 10.

This algorithm is only one possible implementation of the invention. In particular, more elaborate equations for calculating *w* may be appropriate. The balance, for instance, should be an absolute value that behaves consistently regardless of the size of the predictive list. The algorithm can be further optimized for less storage and better performance by reducing the sorting after each action by the user.

This invention further contemplates that presentation of possible data entries in a predictive list may partake of both characteristics of recency and frequency in ways other than the balancing described above. More particularly, considering a predictive list of a certain number of possible entries, such as ten, a certain number of positions at the beginning of the sequential list may be assigned for recency determination only. The remainder of the list may have entries assigned positions in the sequence based on frequency only. Thus in displaying the list for a predictive fill or predictive default, the first few entries displayed would be based on the most recent entries selected by a user, while entries displayed further down the list would be displayed based on the frequency with which those entries were selected during past use of the application. The "parting line" between recency and frequency is user determined. Thus in the example given of a ten entry list, a user may chose to have the first three positions displayed based on recency, with positions four through ten based on frequency. Thus with each resorting of the list, the most recently used entry would be displayed in the first position, above the next previous entries chosen.

10

15

This method of handling recent and frequent entries has several advantages. It is universally applicable, so that an application developer no longer need determine the best frequency and recency characteristics for each text widget. All text widgets in an application can use the same algorithm, so that a user will learn what behavior to expect. Consistency of the algorithm from widget to widget enables an easier understanding by a user of what occurs from one entry to the next. Negative impact on system performance is lessened, as calculations are simplified. Demands on system memory are lessened, as storage of recency data for each entry is avoided; frequency data is still stored for each item, while recency is handled by a short list of pointers to the last n items (where n = 3 in the example given just above).

The technology which has been described is applicable not only to form filling widgets useful with mobile client computer systems, but also with systems of other types which may present needs for completing forms. Examples of other systems in which this invention will have utility are shown and described in United States Patent 5,539,479 issued 23 July 1996, assigned to common ownership with the invention here described, and to which the interested reader is referred.

In the drawings and specifications there has been set forth a preferred embodiment of the invention and, although specific terms are used, the description thus given uses terminology in a generic and descriptive sense only and not for purposes of limitation.

What is claimed is:

1. A mobile client computer comprising:

a housing sized to be held and manipulated by the hand of a user;

a processor mounted within said housing for processing digital data;

memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said

processor;

5

10

15

a display mounted in said housing and coupled to said processor and said memory for displaying information derived from digital data processed by said processor;

an input digitizer mounted in said housing and overlaying said display, said digitizer being coupled to said processor for input of digital data by a user; and

a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor for directing the processing of digital data by said processor;

said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in

a) displaying a form defining data fields; and

- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.
- 2. A mobile client computer according to Claim 1 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 3. A mobile client computer according to Claim 2 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.

- 4. A mobile client computer according to Claim 1 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.
- 5. A mobile client computer according to Claim 4 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 6. A mobile client computer according to Claim 1 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 7. A mobile client computer according to Claim 6 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.
- 8. A mobile client computer according to Claim 6 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 9. A mobile client computer according to Claim 6 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data

5 entries.

10

15

5

- 10. A mobile client computer according to Claim 6 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 11. A mobile client computer according to Claim 6 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.
- 12. A mobile client computer comprising:
 - a housing sized to be held and manipulated by the hand of a user;
- a processor mounted within said housing for processing digital data; memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said processor;
- a display mounted in said housing and coupled to said processor and said memory for displaying information derived from digital data processed by said processor;

an input digitizer mounted in said housing and overlaying said display, said digitizer being coupled to said processor for input of digital data by a user; and

a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor for directing the processing of digital data by said processor;

said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in

- a) displaying a form defining data fields;
- b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
- c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the

5

10

defined data field; and

d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

13. A computer comprising:

a housing;

a processor mounted within said housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said processor;

a display coupled to said processor and said memory to display information derived from digital data processed by said processor; and

a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor to direct the processing of digital data by said processor;

said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in

- a) displaying a form defining data fields; and
- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.
- 14. A computer according to Claim 13 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 15. A computer according to Claim 14 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.

32

- 16. A computer according to Claim 13 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.
- 17. A computer according to Claim 16 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 18. A computer according to Claim 13 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 19. A computer according to Claim 18 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.
- 20. A computer according to Claim 18 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 21. A computer according to Claim 18 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data entries.

10

15

5

- 22. A computer according to Claim 18 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 23. A computer according to Claim 18 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.
- 24. A computer comprising:
 - a housing;
 - a processor mounted within said housing and processing digital data;
- memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said processor;
- a display coupled to said processor and said memory to display information derived from digital data processed by said processor; and
- a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor to direct the processing of digital data by said processor;
- said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in
 - a) displaying a form defining data fields;
 - b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
 - c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the defined data field; and
 - d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

- 25. A display generating system comprising:
 - a housing;
 - a processor mounted within said housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said processor;

said processor and said memory cooperating in supplying digital data driving a display of visual images; and

a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor to direct the processing of digital data by said processor;

said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in

- a) displaying a form defining data fields; and
- b) exercising a predictive widget to supply a data entry for a defined data field.
- 26. A system according to Claim 25 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive default entry for the defined data field.
- 27. A system according to Claim 26 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive default entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 28. A system according to Claim 25 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in exercising the predictive widget to supply a predictive fill entry for the defined data field.

- 29. A system according to Claim 28 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a predictive fill entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 30. A system according to Claim 25 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing a predictive list and selecting a data entry from the predictive list based on a predetermined algorithm.
- 31. A system according to Claim 30 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the recency of use of listed data entries.
- 32. A system according to Claim 30 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon the frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 33. A system according to Claim 30 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in selecting a data entry from the predictive list based upon a user selected weighted determination of the recency and frequency of use of listed data entries.
- 34. A system according to Claim 30 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in storing the predictive list as a sequence of possible data entries and in ordering the sequence by positioning a leading portion of the sequence based on the recency

10

15

- of use of listed data entries and a trailing portion of the sequence based on the frequency of use of listed data entries.
 - 35. A system according to Claim 30 wherein said control program and said processor cooperate, when said control program is executing on said processor, in capturing user entries of data into the defined field and storing captured entries in the predictive list.
 - 36. A display generating system comprising:
 - a housing;
 - a processor mounted within said housing and processing digital data;

memory mounted within said housing for storing digital data and coupled to said processor;

said processor and said memory cooperating in supplying digital data driving a display of visual images; and

a control program stored in said memory and accessible by said processor to direct the processing of digital data by said processor;

said control program and said processor cooperating, when said control program is executing on said processor, in

- a) displaying a form defining data fields;
- b) capturing user entries of data into a defined field;
- c) storing captured user entries in a predictive list of data entries for the defined data field; and
- d) exercising a predictive widget to supply one of a predictive default and a predictive fill selected from the predictive list as a data entry for the defined data field.

ABSTRACT

A computer system preferably a mobile client computer, optimizes data handling and display through the use of predictive widgets. A predictive widget uses a predictive list of possible entries into a defined field of a form, as in a form filling application, to provide one or both of a predictive default entry for a field or a predictive fill once a user has started an entry into the field.

1/11 R.L. BERTRAM ET AL. RP9-95-017








FIG. 2














FIG. 7



8/11 **RP9-95-017**






FIG. 9





FIG. 10



n	Item	b=1		b=	=4	b=10	
		w	W	w	W	w	W
0	Champion	1.00	1.33	1.00	1.67	1.00	1.83
1	Bertram	0.50	0.95	0.80	1.87	0.91	2.39
2	(Champion)	0.33		0.67		0.83	
3	(Bertram)	0.25		0.57		0.77	
4	(Bertram)	0.20		0.50		0.71	
5	Brittenham	0.17	0.65	0.44	1.85	0.67	2.96
6	(Brittenham)	0.14		0.40		0.63	
7	(Brittenham)	0.13		0.36		0.59	·
8	(Brittenham)	0.11		0.33		0.56	
9	(Brittenham)	0.10		0.31		0.53	

FIG. 12

issued thereon.

DOCKET NUMBER: RP9-95-017

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

the specification of which: (check one)


My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled

MOBILE CLEINT COMPUTER PROGRAMMED TO PREDICT INPUT

None (Number) I hereby c below and United Sta to disclose between th None_	gn Application(s): (Countline the benefit of Unite, insofar as the subject tes application in the material to the filing date of the prior on Serial #)	ed States priority und matter of each of the anner provided by the the patentability of the	e claims of this app he first paragraph his application as	lication is not o of 35 USC 112 defined in 37 C	disclosed in a li , I acknowledge FR 1.56 which	n(s) listed sted prior e the duty occurred
None (Number) I hereby c below and United Sta to disclose between the	(Coundain the benefit of Unite , insofar as the subject tes application in the material to	ed States priority und matter of each of the anner provided by the the patentability of the	der 35 USC 120 of e claims of this app he first paragraph his application as	lication is not o of 35 USC 112 defined in 37 C	ates application disclosed in a li , I acknowledge FR 1.56 which	n(s) listed sted prior e the duty occurred
_None		try)	(Filing Date)		Yes1	
					Yes!	
					Priority Cla	aimed
certificate	im the benefit of foreign listed below and have ling date before that of t	also identified below	any foreign applic	ation for paten	s) for patent or i t or inventor's o	inventor's certificate
	edge the duty to discle e with 37 CFR 1.56.	ose information whi	ch is material to	the patentabili	ty of this appli	cation in
	ite that I have reviewed a amended by any amend			ve identified sp	ecification, incl	uding the
as	Application Serial No. discourage and Application Serial No. discourage and Application Application Serial No.		(if applicable).			
ur	as filed on der Attorney's Docket N	lumber <u>RP9-95-017</u>	-			
	6 1 1					
	attached hereto.					

18 USC 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent



POWER OF ATTORNEY: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint the following attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

H. St. Julian Reg. No. 30,329 Daniel E. McConnell Reg. No. 20,360 George Grosser Reg. No. 25,629 Bernard D. Bogdon Reg. No. 24,773 Anthony N. Magistrale Reg. No. 35,595 Martin J. McKinley Reg. No. 31,782

Send correspondence to Daniel E. McConnell, IBM Corp., PC Co. Legal Dept., Dept. 9CCA/Bldg. 002-2, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 and direct all telephone calls to Daniel E. McConnell at (919) 543-1105.

FULL NAME OF INVENTOR: Randal Lee Bertram DATE: 8/22/96 INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: RESIDENCE: 12112 Inglehurst Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina 27613-5528 CITIZENSHIP: USA POST OFFICE ADDRESS: 12112 Inglehurst Drive, Raleigh, North Carolina, 27613-5528 FULL NAME OF INVENTOR: David Frederick Champion DATE: 8/22/96 INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: _ RESIDENCE: 5106 Longwood Drive, Durham, North Carolina, 27713 CITIZENSHIP: USA POST OFFICE ADDRESS:5106 Longwood Drive, Durham, North Carolina, 27713 FULL NAME OF INVENTOR: Peter James Brittenham DATE: 8/22/96 INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: RESIDENCE:1645 Pricewood Lane, Apex, North Carolina, 27502 CITIZENSHIP: USA

POST OFFICE ADDRESS:1845 Pricewood Lane, Apex, North Carolina, 27502

_	jc5
8	80
31/	U.S
8	 d

Please type a plus si	gn (+) inside this l	oox →
-----------------------	----------------------	-------

Please type a plus sign (+) inside this box

Approved for use through 09/30/2000. OMB 0651-003

Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUMENT OF COMMERCUMENT

UTILITY PATENT APPLICATION **TRANSMITTAL**

Attorney Docket No.	RP9-95-017V			ξ
First Impostor or Applic	ration Identifier Randal	Bertram	et	al

Mobile Client Computer Programmed Predict Input

Only for new nonprovisional applications under 37 C.F.R. § 1.53(b) Express Mail Label No.

	PPLICATION ELEMENTS apter 600 concerning utility patent application contents.	Assistant Commissioner for Patents ADDRESS TO: Box Patent Application Washington, DC 20231		
See MPEP cha 1. X Fe (Sun 2. X Spe (pre - De - Ci - St - Re - Be - Be - De - Cl - Cl - St - Re - St - De - Cl - Cl - St - Re - St - De - Cl	repeter 600 concerning utility patent application contents. Tee Transmittal Form (e.g., PTO/SB/17) Tubmit an original and a duplicate for fee processing) Teecification [Total Pages] 38] Teecification [Total Pages]] Newly executed (original or copy)	ADDRESS TO: Box Patent Application Washington, DC 20231 5. Microfiche Computer Program (Appendix) 6. Nucleotide and/or Amino Acid Sequence Submission (if applicable, all necessary) a. Computer Readable Copy b. Paper Copy (identical to computer copy) c. Statement verifying identity of above copies ACCOMPANYING APPLICATION PARTS 7. Assignment Papers (cover sheet & document(s)) 8. 37 C.F.R.§3.73(b) Statement Power of Attorney 9. English Translation Document (if applicable) 10. Information Disclosure Statement (IDS)/PTO-1449 Copies of IDS 11. X Preliminary Amendment 12. (Should be specifically itemized)		
b. X Copy from a prior application (37 C.F.R. § 1.63(d)) i. DELETION OF INVENTOR(S) Signed statement attached deleting inventor(s) named in the prior application, see 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.63(d)(2) and 1.33(b). *NOTE FOR ITEMS 1 & 13: IN ORDER TO BE ENTITLED TO PAY SMALL ENTITY FEES, A SMALL ENTITY STATEMENT IS REQUIRED OF C.F.R. § 1.27), EXCEPT IF ONE FILED IN A PRIOR APPLICATION IS RELED UPON (37 C.F.R. § 1.28). 16. If a CONTINUING APPLICATION, check appropriate box, and supply the requisite information below and in a preliminary amendment: X Continuation Divisional Continuation-in-part (CIP) Of prior application No: 08/ 1703, 171 Prior application information: Examiner B. Huynh Group / Art Unit: 2773 For CONTINUATION or DIVISIONAL APPS only: The entire disclosure of the prior application, from which an oath or declaration is supplied.				
	lb, is considered a part of the disclosure of the accompa The incorporation <u>can only</u> be relied upon when a portio	nying continuation or divisional application and is hereby the operated by in has been inadvertently omitted from the submitted application parts.		
	17. CORRESPOND	JENCE ADDRESS		
Custon		or Correspondence address below Attach bar code label here)		
Name	IBM Corporation PSG IP Legal Dept.			
Address	Dept. 9CCA/Bldg. 002-2 P.O. Box 12195			
City	Research Triangle Park State	NC Zip Code 27709		
Country	USA Telephone	919-543-4753 Fax 919-254-2649		
	(PrintType) Bernard D. Bogdon	Registration No. (Attorney/Agent) 24,773 Date 8/28/98		

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Box Patent Application, Washington, DC 20231.

PTO/SB/17 (2/98)
Approved for use through 9/30/2000. OMB 0651-0032
Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

FEE TRANSMITTA	F	EE	TR	ΔΙ	NS	M	ITT	A	L
----------------	---	----	----	----	----	---	-----	---	---

Patent fees are subject to annual revision on October 1. These are the fees effective October 1, 1997.

Small Entity payments must be supported by a small entity statement, otherwise large entity fees must be paid. See Forms PTO/SB/09-12. See 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.27 and 1.28.

TOTAL AMOUNT OF PAYMENT (\$) 1,388.00

144

Complete if Known				
Application Number	Not Yet Assigned			
Filing Date	Concurrently herewith			
First Named Inventor	Randal Bertram et al;			
Examiner Name	B. Huynh (Parent Case)			
Group / Art Unit	2773 (Parent Case)			
Attorney Docket No.	RP9-95-017V			

METHOD OF PAYMENT (check one)	<u>.</u>			FE	E CALCULAT	ION (continued)
1. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge indicated fees and credit any over payments to:	3. ADE Large Er Fee F	ntity Fee	Small i Fee	Entity Fee	,	escription	Fee Paid
Account 09-1990	Code (105 13			(♥) 65	Surcharge - late fil	ing fee or oath	
Number Deposit Account				25	•	ovisional filing fee or	
Name Character Additional Character the leave Fee Set in	139 13	30	139 13	30	Non-English specif	ication	
Charge Any Additional Fee Required Under Charge the Issue Fee Set in 37 C.F.R. § 1.18 at the Mailing	147 2,5				For filing a request for reexamination		
37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 and 1.17 of the Notice of Allowance		20*	112 9		Requesting publication of SIR prior to Examiner action		
2. Payment Enclosed: Check Money Other	113 1,8	840*	113 1	,84 0 *			
FEE CALCULATION	115 1 ⁻	10	215	55	Extension for reply		
	116 40	00	216 20	00	1.7	within second month	h
1. BASIC FILING FEE	117 9	50	217 4	75	Extension for reply	within third month	
Large Entity Small Entity Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Fee Paid	118 1,5	510	218 7	55		within fourth month	
Code (\$) Code (\$)	128 2,0	060	228 1,0	030	Extension for reply	within fifth month	
101 790 201 395 Utility filing fee 790.00	119 3 [,]	10	219 1	55	Notice of Appeal		
106 330 206 165 Design filing fee	120 3 ⁻	10	220 1	55	Filing a brief in sup		
107 540 207 270 Plant filing fee	121 2	70	221 13	35	Request for oral he	-	4:
108 790 208 395 Reissue filing fee	138 1,5	510	138 1,5	510		a public use proceed	oing
114 150 214 75 Provisional filing fee	140 1°	10	240	55	Petition to revive -		
SUBTOTAL (1) (\$) 790.00	141 1,3	320	241 6	60	Petition to revive -	unintentional	
2. EXTRA CLAIM FEES	142 1,3	320	242 6	60	Utility issue fee (or	reissue)	
Fee from Extra Claims below Fee Paid	143 4	50	243 2	25	Design issue fee		
Total Claims 36 -20** = 16 x 22 = 352	144 6	70	244 3	35	Plant issue fee		
Independent 6 - 3** = 3 x 82 =246				30 50	Petitions to the Co		
Multiple Dependent				50		provisional applicati	
**or number previously paid, if greater; For Reissues, see below Large Entity Small Entity				40	Submission of Info	rmation Disclosure S	Stmt
Fee Fee Fee Fee Description Code (\$) Code (\$)	} 			40		tent assignment per mber of properties)	
103 22 203 11 Claims in excess of 20	146 7	90	246 3	395	Filing a submission (37 CFR 1.129(a))	after final rejection	
102 82 202 41 Independent claims in excess of 3	149 7	90	249 3	395	For each additional		
104 270 204 135 Multiple dependent claim, if not paid 109 82 209 41 **Reissue independent claims					examined (37 CFR	1.129(D))	
over original patent	Other fee	(spe	cify)				-
110 22 210 11 ** Reissue claims in excess of 20 and over original patent	Other fee	(spe	cify) _				-
SUBTOTAL (2) (\$)1,388.00	Reduced	d by E	Basic F	iling F	Fee Paid St	JBTOTAL (3) (\$	5)
SUBMITTED BY						Complete (if	applicable)
Typed or Printed Name Bernard D. Bogdon						Reg. Number	24,773
Signature			D	ate	8/28/98	Deposit Account User ID	,

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.